

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: PESTONI et al.

Serial No.: 09/928,347

Art Unit: 2152

Filed: 8/14/2001

Examiner: CHANKONG, Dohm

Title: *Collaborative Content Programming*

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

In response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed July 25, 2006, submitted herewith is a Supplemental Appeal Brief.

REMARKS MADE IN THE NOTIFICATION:

The remarks in the Notification state that the brief of November 9, 2005, does not contain a statement of the status of the claims.

The remarks in the Notification state that (a) the brief of November 9, 2005, does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief of November 9, 2005, fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings by reference characters. It is further stated in the Notification that the previously filed brief describes the subject matter generally and does not specifically discuss the independent claims and dependent claims as required by 37 CFR 41.37c(1)(v).

The remarks in the Notification state that the brief of November 9, 2005, does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review. It is further stated in the Notification that the previously filed brief fails to list the rejections set forth by Examiner as required by 37 CFR 41.37e(1)(vi).

REVISIONS MADE TO BRIEF:

The attached Supplement Appeal Brief includes status indicators as stated in the Notification.

The attached Supplement Appeal Brief contains explanations to discuss the independent claims and dependent claims as stated in the Notification.


The attached Supplement Appeal Brief lists the rejections set forth by Examiner as stated in the Notification.

SUMMARY:

This response with the Supplemental Appeal Brief should obviate outstanding issues. Reconsideration of this appeal is respectfully requested in view of this response.

As this response has been timely filed, no request for extension of time or assoeiated fee is required. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies in the fees provided to Deposit Account No. 09-0441.

Respectfully submitted,



Jaclyn A. Sehade
Registration No. 50,569

1725 Duke Street
Suite 650
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 838-7683

August 23, 2006